Thin film fracture

Thin Film Materials by L.B. Freund & S. Suresh: Thin films have been inserted into engineering systems in order to accomplish a wide range of practical serice functions. Among these are micro (<u>nano</u>) electronic devices and packages, MEMS, and surface coating... ... To a large extent, the success of this endeavor has been enabled by research leading to relicible means for <u>estimating stress in small material systems</u> and by <u>eartoblishing</u> frameworks in which to access the integrity or functionality of the systems. BVP for thin films!

Let us first consider a 2D case. We'll show many concepts obtained in 2D systems apply to more general 3D problems.

We consider partially nonlinear kinematics (i.e., moderate rotation) and linear material laws.



$$\begin{array}{c}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
 & V(x) \\
 & W(x) \\
 & W(x) \\
\end{array} \\
 & M(x) + \frac{dV}{dx} dx + H.0.T. \\
 & M(x) + \frac{dM}{dx} dx + H.0.T. \\
\end{array} \\
 & M(x) + \frac{dM}{dx} dx + H.0.T. \\
\end{array} \\
 & M(x) + \frac{dM}{dx} dx + H.0.T. \\
\end{array}$$

$$\Sigma F_x = 0 \rightarrow \frac{dN}{dx} = 0 \rightarrow N = constant$$

×χ

$$\Sigma F_y = 0 \rightarrow -V(x) + \int dx + V(x) + \frac{dV}{dx} dx = 0 \rightarrow \frac{dV}{dx} = -9$$

$$\Sigma M_{z}^{z+dx} \rightarrow -M(x) + V \cdot dx - N \cdot \left(\frac{dv}{dx} dz + O(q^{2}x)\right) - q \cdot O(dz) + M(x) + \frac{dM}{dz} dz + Hot = 0$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{dM}{dx} - N \frac{dv}{dz} + V = 0 \rightarrow \frac{dM}{dz^{2}} - N \frac{d^{2}v}{dz^{2}} - q = 0$$

Finally, linear material law gives M = BK, $K = \frac{V''}{(H \cup V^2)^{3/2}} \simeq V''$ for moderate rotations.

$$\frac{d^4 v}{dx^4} - \frac{N}{8} \frac{d^2 v}{dx^2} = \frac{9}{8}$$
, where $N = E^4 E_{NX}$, $B = \frac{1}{12} E^4 h^3$, $E^4 = \frac{E}{1-v^2}$ in general.

89

We are interested in the energy release rate in this system. Consider a region that is close to the edge of the delamination zone. At this level of observation, the edge is essentially straight and the state of deformation is "generalized" plane strain.





The energy release rate for advance of the delamination front is determined by the edge loads, sNa and Ma, which are <u>not known</u> a priori in general (need to solve the BVP).

According to Hutchison & Suo (1991), the stress intensity factors are

$$K_{I} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\Delta N_{n} h^{-H_{2}} \cos \omega + 2\sqrt{3} M_{n} h^{\frac{3}{2}} \sin \omega \right]$$

$$K_{I} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\Delta N_{n} h^{-H_{2}} \sin \omega - 2\sqrt{3} M_{n} h^{\frac{3}{2}} \cos \omega \right] \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \ln \psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{M_{n} + \Delta N_{n} h}{Re(Kh^{\frac{1}{2}})} = \frac{\sqrt{12}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{M_{n} + \Delta N_{n} h}{\ln 4 \cos \omega}$$

$$M_{n} de - Mixity$$

$$W = \omega (h/H, \xi) \rightarrow 45^{\circ} - 65^{\circ} \quad as h/H \rightarrow \circ$$

$$Substrate thickness$$

$$M_{n} de - Mixity$$

$$Were K = K_{I} + iK_{I}, \xi = \xi(\xi, \omega, \xi_{S}, \omega_{S})$$

$$Substrate properties$$

$$\int_{0}^{4} \int_{C} \int_{C} \int_{C} \int_{C} (\psi) = \int_{C}^{4} \left[1 + (\lambda - 1) \sin^{2} \psi \right]^{-1}$$

$$due to asperity contact and plasticity.$$

$$\frac{1}{Experiments show increased} \int_{C} as K_{I} increases.$$

Buckle delamination





When to occur and what determines A& b?



$$B \frac{d^{4}W}{dx^{4}} + N \frac{d^{4}W}{dx^{2}} = 0 \quad \& \quad N = \text{constant}$$

$$\Rightarrow W = A + Qx + Q \sin \sqrt{\frac{N}{D}} x + F \cos \sqrt{\frac{N}{B}} x.$$

$$symmetry$$

Boundary conditions:

$$W(\pm b) = 0 \rightarrow A + F \cos \sqrt{\frac{N}{B}} b = 0 \longrightarrow F = A$$

$$W'(\pm b) = 0 \rightarrow Sin \sqrt{\frac{N}{B}} b = 0 \rightarrow N = \frac{\pi^2 B}{b^2} \quad (Recall + he Euler instability Par = \frac{\pi^2 F I}{(\mu l)^2})$$

To determine A, we need to describle the axial strain of the conterline Exx

$$\rightarrow -2b\xi = 2b\xi_c - \frac{\pi^2}{2b}A^2 \rightarrow A^2 = \frac{4b^2}{\pi^2}(\xi - \xi_c)$$

Critical strain for buckling

. .
$$w(x) = A(1 + \cos \frac{\pi}{b}x)$$
, $A = \frac{2b}{\pi}(\xi - \xi_{c})^{k_{c}}$, $\xi_{c} = \frac{\pi^{2}}{12}(\frac{k}{b})^{2}$

 \mathcal{O}

· Now, we know the solution for buckled film. Let's compute the energy release rate.

$$U_{\text{flot}} = \frac{1}{2} E' \epsilon^2 h(l-2b)$$
, where l is the total length of the film

$$U_{backle} = \int_{-b}^{b} \frac{1}{2} B(w')^{a} + \frac{1}{2} E^{b} (\xi_{xx})^{2} dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{12} E^{b} h^{3} \cdot \frac{\pi^{4}}{b^{4}} \cdot A^{2} \int_{-b}^{b} \cos^{3} \frac{\pi}{b} x dx + \frac{1}{2} E^{b} h \frac{N}{(E^{b})^{e}} \cdot 2b$$

$$= \frac{1}{24} E^{b} h^{3} \frac{\pi^{4}}{b^{3}} \cdot \frac{4b^{2}}{\pi^{2}} (\xi - \xi_{c}) + \frac{\pi^{4}}{144} \frac{E^{b}}{b^{3}} \cdot h^{4}$$

$$\Rightarrow U_{sE} = \frac{E^{b}}{2} \left[(l-2b) \xi^{a} + \frac{\pi^{a}}{3} \frac{h^{2}}{b} \xi - \frac{\pi^{2}}{3} \frac{h^{2}}{b} \cdot \frac{\pi^{2}}{16} (\frac{h}{b})^{2} + \frac{\pi^{4}}{72} \frac{h^{4}}{b^{3}} \right]$$

$$= \frac{2U_{sE}}{2(2b)} = \frac{E^{b}}{2} \left[(\xi^{2} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{b} \frac{h^{2}}{b^{2}} - \frac{\pi^{4}}{48} \frac{h^{4}}{b^{4}} \right] = \frac{1}{2} E^{b} h (\xi + 3\xi_{c}) (\xi - \xi_{c})$$

• We can also obtain this according to the local observation



$$\begin{array}{c} (\widehat{P}_{90}) \rightarrow & \widehat{G} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2E_{b}^{1}} \Delta N_{b}^{2}}_{G_{s}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2B}}_{G_{b}} M_{b}^{2} = \frac{E_{b}^{1}}{2} \left(\varepsilon^{2} + \frac{\pi^{2} h^{2}}{6} \varepsilon^{2} + \frac{\pi^{2} h^{2}}{48} \varepsilon^{2} - \frac{\pi^{4} h^{4}}{48} \right) = \frac{\pi^{4}}{96} \frac{E_{b}^{1} (3A^{4} + 4A^{2}h^{2})}{b^{4}} ?$$

As the substrate is very <u>soft</u> (Pan et al IJSS, 2014), or the interface is <u>slippery</u> (Dai et al. JMPS, 2020) so that $4N_b \rightarrow 0$, G_s is not important:

$$T' = \frac{1}{2B}M_b^2 = \frac{\pi^4}{2} \frac{BA^2}{b^4} \xrightarrow{2A=5}{2b=2} 2\pi^4 \frac{B5^2}{2^4} \quad (D. Vella et al. PNAS 2009)$$



92

· Lastly, let's try J integral.



$$\rightarrow \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}_{1} + \mathcal{J}_{2} + \mathcal{J}_{3} = \frac{\mathcal{E}h'}{2} \left(-\mathcal{E}^{2} + 4\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_{c} - 3\mathcal{E}_{c}^{2} + 2\mathcal{E}^{2} - 2\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_{c} \right) = \frac{\mathcal{E}h}{2} \left(\mathcal{E}^{2} + 2\mathcal{E}\mathcal{E}_{c} - 3\mathcal{E}_{c}^{2} \right) \sqrt{2}$$

Pressurized bulge of uniform width

The straight-sided bulge configuration is perhaps of less practical significance than the circular case. But the mechanical response of the film can be described in a fairly "transparent" way at various levels of approximations - useful for introducing ideas.



(94)

Now the deflection results from external loading P (positively defined upward) $B \frac{d^4 w}{dz^4} - N \frac{d^4 w}{dz^2} = P$

There are three sources of elastic energy: bending, stretching & residual stress. Let us consider a scaling argument.

Geometry:
$$K \sim 5/a^2$$
, $E \sim 5^2/a^2$
Bendling energy: $U_b \sim BK^2 \sim B5^2/a^4$ (per area)
Stretching energy: $U_s \sim NE \sim (E'hE + Nm)E \sim \begin{cases} E'h5^4/a^4 & as \frac{Nm}{Eh} \ll \frac{5^2}{a^2} & (Membrane)\\ Nm5^2/a^2 & as \frac{Nm}{Eh} \gg \frac{5^2}{a^2} & (Pretension) \end{cases}$

• The bending response $(U_b \gg U_s)$

This case leads to the simplest level of approximation - linear plate theory

$$B \frac{d^4 W}{dx^4} = \rho$$

$$W(x = \pm \alpha) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad W(x) = \frac{\rho a^4}{24B} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{a^2}\right)^2 \quad \& \quad \rho = \frac{24BS}{a^4} \quad (linear \ \rho - S \ relation)$$

$$W'(x = \pm \alpha) = 0$$

Accurate when $BS^2/a^4 >> \{EhS^4/a^4, NmS^2/a^2\}$, i.e., $S \ll \left(\frac{B}{Fh}\right)^2 \sim h$ and $\frac{Nm}{Eh} \ll \frac{B}{Eha^2} \sim \frac{h^2}{a^2}$

This "configurational" driving force for delamination at the edge of the premurized zone can be calculated by Eq on P90 with $sN_a = 0$



· Large deflection response (Ub~Us)

If the canter point deflection δ increases to values on the order of h, we need to consider the generated membrane stress in the film due to transverse deflection (in addition to residual membrane stress). Here we consider a simplified case in which $N_m=0$.

$$B \frac{d^4w}{dz^4} - N \frac{d^2w}{dz^2} = P \longrightarrow w(z) = -\frac{P}{2N}z^2 + A + Bz + z \sinh \sqrt{B}z + D \cosh \sqrt{B}z$$

Boundary conditions: $W(x=\pm \alpha) = W'(x=\pm \alpha) = 0$

$$-\frac{P}{2N}a^{2} + A + D \cosh \sqrt{\frac{N}{B}}a = 0$$

$$-\frac{P}{N}a + D\sqrt{\frac{N}{B}} \sinh \sqrt{\frac{N}{B}}a = 0$$

$$\int \frac{C = \left(\frac{Na^{2}}{B}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{D} = \frac{Pa^{4}}{B} \frac{I}{C \sinh C}$$

We obtain $w(x) = \frac{\rho_a^4}{B} \left[\frac{\tau - 2 \operatorname{coth} \tau}{2\tau^3} - \frac{1}{\tau^2} \left(\frac{\chi}{a} \right)^2 + \frac{\cosh\left(\frac{\tau\chi}{a}\right)}{\tau^3 \sinh \tau} \right]$

$$\rightarrow \delta = \frac{Pa^4}{B} \left(\frac{\tau - 2 \operatorname{coth} \Gamma}{2\Gamma^3} + \frac{1}{\tau^3 \operatorname{sinh} \tau} \right) = \begin{cases} \frac{Pa^4}{24B} \left[1 - \frac{1}{10} \Gamma^2 + O(\Gamma^4) \right], & \text{for } \tau \ll 1 \quad (\underline{Plate \ respinse}) \\ \frac{Pa^4}{2N} \left[1 - \frac{2 \operatorname{coth} \Gamma}{\Gamma} + O(\overline{e}^{-\tau} \Gamma^{-3}) \right], & \text{for } \tau \gg 1 \quad (\underline{Menbrane \ response}) \end{cases}$$

What is membrane response as
$$T \gg 1$$
? Imagine zero-bending modulus plote; $-N \frac{d^2W}{dx^2} = P$, its solution is simply $W = \frac{Pa^2}{2N} (1 - \frac{z^2}{a^2})$. It solvisfies $W(\pm a) = 0$ but not $W'(\pm a) = 0$!

Note that we still don't know what N or T is! Need to use BCs about in-plane displacement.

$$\frac{N}{E'h} = \xi_{KX} = \frac{du}{dx} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dw}{dx}\right)^2 \rightarrow \frac{du}{dx} = \frac{N}{E'h} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dw}{dx}\right)^2$$

$$shute N_{m} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow U(\alpha) - U(-\alpha) = \xi_{m}^{\alpha} 2\alpha = \int_{-\alpha}^{\alpha} \left[\frac{\beta \Gamma^2}{E'h \alpha^{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dw}{dx}\right)^2\right] dx$$

$$= \frac{h^2}{6\alpha} \Gamma^2 - \frac{\rho^2 \alpha^7}{6\beta^{\alpha}} \frac{l2 + 2\Gamma^2 - 9\Gamma \operatorname{coth}\Gamma - 3\Gamma^2/\operatorname{sin}h^{\alpha}\Gamma}{\Gamma^6}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma^8 = \left(\frac{\rho \alpha^4}{8h}\right)^2 \left(l2 + 2\Gamma^2 - 9\Gamma \operatorname{coth}\Gamma - 3\Gamma^2/\operatorname{sin}h^{\alpha}\Gamma\right) \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\rho \alpha^4}{8h} = f(C)$$

$$\lim_{t \to 0^{-1}} \Gamma - \frac{2}{3} \frac{l}{337} \frac{(\rho \alpha^{4})}{8h} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{\rho \alpha^{4}}{8h}\right)^{1/3} \rightarrow \frac{N\alpha^{\alpha}}{8} 2^{1/3} \left(\frac{\rho \alpha^{4}}{8h}\right)^{1/3} \rightarrow \frac{N\alpha^{\alpha}}{16^{1/3}} 2^{1/3} \left(\frac{\rho \alpha^{4}}{8h}\right)^{1/3} \rightarrow \frac{N\alpha^{\alpha}}{8} 2^{1/3} \left(\frac{\rho \alpha^{4}}{8h}\right)^{1/3} \rightarrow \frac{\rho^{3/3} \alpha^{3/3} (Eh)^{1/3} h^{2/3}}{16^{1/3}} \left(\frac{\rho \alpha^{4}}{8h}\right)$$

• When
$$\frac{pa^4}{Bh} \sim \frac{5}{h} \ll 1$$
, $\Gamma \rightarrow 0$, $5 = \frac{pa^4}{24B}$ or $P = \frac{24B}{a^4} \delta$ (plate)
• When $\frac{pa^4}{Bh} \sim \frac{5}{h} \gg 1$, $\delta = \frac{pa^2}{2N} = \frac{6^{1/3}}{2} \frac{p^{1/3}a^{\frac{4}{3}}}{(E^{1}h)^{1/3}} = \left(\frac{3pa^4}{4E^{1}h}\right)^{1/3}$ or $P = \frac{4}{3} \frac{E^{1}h}{a^4} \delta^3$ (Memberane)

Now we are able to determine sNa and Ma in terms of C. specifically

$$\Delta N_{a} = N = \frac{BE^{2}}{a^{2}}, \quad M_{a} = Bw''(x=a) = \frac{Pa^{2}}{C^{2}}(1-\tau \text{ orth } C) = \frac{Bh}{a^{2}} \frac{f(C)}{C^{2}} \frac{1-\tau \text{ orth } C}{C^{2}} = g(C)$$

$$\int = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta N_{a}^{2}}{Eh} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{M_{a}^{2}}{B} = \frac{B^{2}}{2Eha^{4}} C^{4} + \frac{Bh^{2}}{2a^{4}} g^{2}(C) = \frac{Eh^{5}}{a^{4}} \frac{C^{4}}{288} \left[1 + \frac{12(1-\tau \text{ orth } C)^{2}}{2(6+\tau^{2})-9\tau \text{ orth } C-3\tau^{2} \text{ csch}^{2} C} \right]$$

$$\sim \begin{cases} \frac{E'h^{5}}{a^{4}} \cdot \frac{35}{96} c^{2} = \frac{Bh^{2}}{a^{4}} \frac{35}{8} \cdot \frac{4}{9 \cdot 35} \frac{p^{2}a^{8}}{B'h^{2}} = \frac{p^{2}a^{4}}{18B} \text{, as } c \ll 1 \quad (\text{Plate limit}) \end{cases}$$

$$\approx \begin{cases} \frac{E'h^{5}}{a^{4}} \cdot \frac{7}{288} c^{4} = \frac{7}{2 \times 6^{3}s} \left(\frac{p^{4} \cdot a^{4}}{E'h}\right)^{1/3} \text{, as } c \gg 1 \quad (\text{Membrane limit}? \text{Need to check}) \end{cases}$$

· Membrane response (Us >> Ub)

Still consider Nm=0 so that Us >> Us means E'h 54 >> B of , i.e., 5>> JEh ~ h



Non-dimensionalization

$$X = \frac{\chi}{\alpha}, \quad W = \frac{W}{5}, \quad \widetilde{N} = \frac{N}{E^{h}\delta^{3}/a^{2}}, \quad P = \frac{P}{E^{h}\delta^{2}/a^{2}\times\delta/a^{2}} = \frac{Pa^{4}}{E^{h}\delta^{3}}$$

$$B \frac{d^{4}w}{dx^{4}} - N \frac{d^{2}w}{dx^{2}} = P \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{B \cdot 5}{a^{4}} \quad W_{xxxx} - \widetilde{N} \frac{E^{h}h\delta^{2}}{a^{2}} \cdot \frac{\delta}{a^{2}} \quad W_{xx} = P \cdot \frac{E^{h}h\delta^{3}}{a^{4}}$$

$$\therefore \quad \varepsilon^{2} W_{xxxx} - \widetilde{N} \quad W_{xx} = P \quad , \quad where \quad \varepsilon = \left(\frac{B}{E^{h}h\delta^{2}}\right)^{l_{2}} \sim \frac{h}{5} \ll 1 \quad \boxed{\text{This definition gives}} \quad L_{b} \sim \varepsilon \alpha$$

Since $\ell^2 << 1$, we neglect the high order term and immediately have the solution:

$$W = \frac{P}{2N} (1 - X^2) \quad \text{or} \quad W(x) = \frac{Pa^2}{2N} (1 - \frac{x^2}{a^2}) \quad \text{in dimensional form}$$

= 3 since $W(v) = 3$

where we have used $W(\pm 1) = 0$. To calulate \widetilde{N}_{s} recall that

$$\frac{N}{E'h} = \xi_{xx} = \frac{du}{dx} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dw}{dx}\right)^2 \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{U}(\alpha) - \mathcal{U}(-\alpha) = 0 = \int_{-\alpha}^{\alpha} \left[\frac{N}{E'h} - \frac{1}{2} \left(2\frac{\delta x}{\alpha^2}\right)^2\right] dx$$

$$\rightarrow N = \frac{2}{3} E'h \frac{5^2}{a^2}$$
 and $P = \frac{2NS}{a^2} = \frac{4}{3} E'h \frac{5^3}{a^4}$ (Agree with results on Page 96)

(18)

What is the energy release rate? Two perspectives!

O From the point of view of - and (Kendall's peeling angle)



It should be noted here that @ applies to the peeling problem with any O. In particular, when O is not too close to D°, $N >> \frac{N^2}{E'h}$, we have G = N(I-COSO), i.e., peeling at $O = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $G_{=} = \frac{P_{c}}{2}$.

This result is neat and nice, but it does not give anything at K_{I} , K_{I} or Y, which needs information at A Na and Ma.

2 From the point of view of boundary layer analysis

Want to understand what is going on near $x=\pm a$. Return the unsimplified equation at the level of observation $\sim l_b$, i.e., \in in dimensionless form.

(99)

$$g = \frac{X-1}{\epsilon} \quad \frac{d}{dx} = \frac{d}{dg} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon} \rightarrow \frac{\epsilon^2}{\epsilon^4} W_{gggg} - \frac{\tilde{N}}{\epsilon^2} W_{gg} = P \rightarrow W_{gggg} - \tilde{N} W_{gg} = P \epsilon^2$$
(pressure not important hare)

The solution is

$$W(\xi) = C_{1} + C_{2}\xi + C_{3}e^{+\tilde{N}^{4}\xi} + C_{4}e^{-\tilde{N}^{4}\xi}$$

Boundary and matching conditions:

$$W_{X}(X \rightarrow 1) = \frac{P}{N} = W_{g} \cdot \frac{1}{E}$$

$$At \quad x \rightarrow \alpha, \quad g \rightarrow \infty, \quad W = W' = 0$$

$$At \quad x \rightarrow \alpha, \quad g \rightarrow -\infty, \quad W' \quad \text{finite } g \quad W' \rightarrow -\frac{EP}{N} = C_{2}$$

$$\rightarrow W(\xi) = -\frac{PE}{N}g + \frac{PE}{N^{3}k}\left(e^{N^{4}g} - 1\right)$$

$$W''(g) = \frac{PE}{N^{4}g} = \frac{4/3}{N^{2}g} \in \text{Exp}\left[N\frac{E}{S}\frac{(x-1)}{E}\right] \quad (By \ \alpha \text{ few } \epsilon = \frac{h}{S} \text{ away from the} edge, \quad \text{the curvature decays to zero}$$

$$W''_{X} = \frac{\alpha}{S} \times \epsilon^{2} \qquad \text{from leading order solution}$$

Therefore,
$$\Delta N_{\alpha} = \frac{2}{3} E'h \frac{5^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}$$
,
 $M_{\alpha} = B \omega''(x=\alpha) = B \cdot \frac{5}{\alpha^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{\xi^{\alpha}} \cdot W''(f=0) = B \frac{5}{\alpha^{\alpha}} \left(\frac{E'h 5^{2}}{B}\right)^{l_{2}} \frac{4}{6'^{b}} = \left(\frac{8}{3} \cdot \frac{BE'h 5^{4}}{\alpha^{4}}\right)^{l_{2}}$
 $\rightarrow \int_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\Delta N_{\alpha}^{2}}{E'h} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{M_{\alpha}^{2}}{B} = \frac{2}{9} \cdot E'h \frac{5^{4}}{\alpha^{4}} + \frac{8}{2x_{3}} \cdot E'h \frac{5^{4}}{\alpha^{2}} = \frac{14}{9} \cdot Eh \frac{5^{4}}{\alpha^{4}} \sqrt{$
 $+ \alpha n \psi = \frac{\sqrt{12} \cdot M_{\alpha} + \Delta N_{\alpha} \cdot h \cdot ton \omega}{\sqrt{12} \cdot M_{\alpha} \cdot tan \omega + \Delta N_{\alpha} \cdot h} = \frac{\sqrt{16} + tan \omega}{1 - \sqrt{6} \cdot tan \omega} - 1.2 - 0.8 \quad \text{for } 45^{\circ} < \omega < 65^{\circ}$
 $Tensen (1993)$

Circular pressurized bulge



Let's still focus on linear material law and moderate rotation. In the axisymmetric configuaration, there's a pair of equilibrium equations (see Mansfield, 2005)

(100

Finally

$$\nabla^2 (B \nabla^2 w) - (Nrr K_{rr} + Noo Koo) = P$$
, $K_{rr} = \frac{d^2 w}{dr^2}$, $K_{00} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{dw}{dr}$
Bending Stretching Curvatures

In plane equilibrium equation:

$$\frac{dNrr}{dr} + \frac{Nrr - Noo}{r} = 0$$

Moterial law:
$$\mathcal{L}_{rr} = \frac{1}{Eh} (N_{rr} - \nu N_{\theta \theta}), \quad \mathcal{L}_{\theta \theta} = \frac{1}{Eh} (N_{\theta \theta} - \nu N_{rr})$$

er

$$N_{rr} = \frac{Eh}{1-v^2} (\xi_{rr} + v \xi_{00}), N_{00} = \frac{Eh}{1-v^2} (\xi_{00} + v \xi_{rr})$$

Kinematic relations: $E_{rr} = \frac{du}{dr} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{dw}{dr}\right)^2$, $E_{00} = \frac{u}{r}$

Boundary conditions:
$$\frac{dw}{dr} = \frac{d^{w}}{dr^{1}} = 0$$
, $U=0$ at $r=0$ (symmetry)
 $W = \frac{dw}{dr} = 0$, $U = \frac{Nm(HV)}{Eh}$ at $r=a$
 K Residual stress.

Energy release rate: $G = -\frac{\partial T}{\partial A}$ ("global") = $\frac{I-\nu^2}{2Eh} \measuredangle N_a^2 + \frac{1}{2B} M_a^b$ ("local") Let's examine the clastic energy (density) associated with bending, induced tension and residual tension.

(101)

•
$$U_b \sim B R^2 \sim B (\frac{\delta}{\alpha^2})^2 \sim B \frac{\delta^2}{\alpha^4}$$
 (Bending)
• $U_s \sim Eh \mathcal{E}_s^4 \sim Eh (\frac{\delta}{\alpha})^4 \sim Eh \frac{\delta^4}{\alpha^4}$ (Induced tension)
• $U_p \sim N_m \mathcal{E}_s \sim N_m (\frac{\delta}{\alpha})^2 \sim N_m \frac{\delta^2}{\alpha^4}$ (Residual tension)

The system can be linearized as long as Us is not important since only this term involves nonlinear kinematics. For example, when Up >> Us, i.e., $Eh \frac{S^2}{a^2} \ll Nm$, both Nr and Noo approach Nr. The in-plane equation is satisfied automatically, and the out of plane equation becomes

$$B \nabla^4 \omega - N_m \nabla^2 \omega = p . \quad (*)$$

The solution can be readily obtained : $W(r) = \frac{Pa^2}{4Nm} \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{a^2}\right) + W_R(r)$ Particular sol.

To seek Wh, note that the solution $\nabla^2 W - \lambda W = 0$ is $W = C_1 + C_2 \log r$ for $\lambda = 0$ and $W = C_3 I_0 (d\overline{\lambda} r) + C_4 K_0 (d\overline{\lambda} r)$ where Io and Ko are modified Bessel functions of the first and the second kind of order 0. Seek solution of (*) in the form of $\nabla^2 W = \lambda W$,

$$\lambda^{2} - \frac{N_{m}}{B}\lambda = 0 \rightarrow \lambda_{1} = 0, \quad \lambda_{2} = \frac{N_{m}}{B} \rightarrow W_{h}(r) = c_{1} + c_{2}\log r + c_{3} I_{o}\left(\sqrt{\frac{N_{m}}{B}}r\right) + c_{4}K_{o}\left(\sqrt{\frac{N_{m}}{B}}r\right)$$

With BCs, you'll be able to figure out C1, C, C, C4 and G=G(p) or G(S).

It has been shown that as $N_m a^2 \ll B$, $W \Rightarrow \frac{Pa^4}{64B} \left(1 - \frac{r^2}{a}\right)^2$, $G \Rightarrow \frac{P^2 a^4}{128B}$, $Y \Rightarrow -45^\circ$. (Freund & Sureah, 1003) Finally, let's discuss the membrane response with $N_m=0$. Once again, start with scalings.

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
& & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\$$

Need to solve the boundary value problem:

$$N_{rr}\frac{d^{2}w}{dr^{2}} + N_{00}\frac{1}{r}\frac{dw}{dr} + P = 0 \qquad \& \qquad \frac{dN_{rr}}{dr} + \frac{N_{rr} - N_{00}}{r} = 0$$

subject to
$$U(0)=0$$
, $W'(0)=0$, $W(\alpha)=0$, $U(\alpha)=0$ Meglected Nm.

·Hencky's solution (1915) (see NASA Techical Report L-17585)

$$(2) \rightarrow \frac{d(r N_{rr})}{dr} - N_{\theta\theta} = 0$$

$$(1 + 2) \rightarrow N_{rr} \frac{d^{2}w}{dr} + \frac{d(rN_{rr})}{dr} \frac{1}{r} \frac{dw}{dr} = -\rho \rightarrow \frac{d}{dr} (r N_{rr} \frac{dw}{dr}) = -\rho r \rightarrow N_{rr} \frac{dw}{dr} = -\rho r \rightarrow N_{rr} \frac{dw}{d$$

Hencky solved this problem by assuming the following form:

$$N_{\text{rr}} = \frac{1}{4} \left(Eh p^{2} a^{2} \right)^{1/3} \stackrel{\infty}{\underset{o}{\longrightarrow}} b_{2h} \left(\frac{r}{a} \right)^{2n}, \quad W = \left(\frac{pa^{4}}{Eh} \right)^{1/3} \stackrel{\infty}{\underset{o}{\longrightarrow}} a_{2n} \left[1 - \left(\frac{r}{a} \right)^{2n+2} \right]$$

$$(2) \longrightarrow N_{\theta\theta} = \frac{1}{4} \left(Eh p^{2} a^{2} \right)^{1/3} \stackrel{\infty}{\underset{o}{\longrightarrow}} (2n+1) b_{2n} \left(\frac{r}{a} \right)^{2n}$$

$$(2n+1) b_{2n} \left(\frac{r}{a} \right)^{2n}$$

(૧૭૩)

Plugging these into (5) & 3 gives

$$\left(b_{0} + b_{2} e^{2} + b_{4} e^{4} + \cdots \right)^{2} \left(8 b_{2} e^{2} + 24 b_{4} e^{3} + 48 b_{6} e^{5} + \cdots \right)^{2} = -8e^{2} + \left(b_{0}^{2} b_{2} + 1 \right) e^{2} + \left(3 b_{0}^{2} b_{4} + 2 b_{0} b_{2}^{2} \right) e^{3} + \left(6 b_{0}^{2} b_{6} + 6 b_{0} b_{2} b_{4} + b_{2} \left(b_{2}^{2} + 2 b_{0} b_{4} \right) \right) e^{5} + \cdots = 0$$

$$(b_{2} - 1/b_{0}^{2}) b_{2} = -2/(3 b_{0}^{5}) b_{2} = -13/(18 b_{0}^{8}) b_{2} + \cdots b_{14} = -219241/(63504 b_{0}^{20}) b_{14} + 2b_{14} b_{14} b_{14$$

Now the only unknown is bo, to be determined with boundary conditions. Note that W'(o) = U(o) = o, W(r=a) = o has been satisfied in the assumed form of Nr & W. The $M'(o) = \lim_{t \to 0} r_{\theta} r_{\theta}$ 'unused' condition comes from $U(r=a) = \frac{Nm(1-v)}{Eh} \cdot a = o$ since Nm=0, i.e.,

$$U(r=a) = r \cdot \frac{1}{Eh} \left(N_{00} - \nu N_{rv} \right) \Big|_{r=a} = r \cdot \frac{1}{Eh} \left[\frac{d(rNr)}{dr} - \nu N_{rr} \right]_{r=a} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (1-\nu) b_{0} - (3-\nu) \frac{1}{b_{0}^{2}} - (5-\nu) \frac{1}{3b_{0}^{5}} + \cdots + (15-\nu) \frac{219241}{63504} \frac{1}{b_{0}^{20}} = 0$$

$$\nu = 0.2, \ b_{0} = 1.6827; \ \nu = 0.3, \ b_{0} = 1.7244$$

 $Jensen (1991): G = \left[\left(\varphi(\nu) \frac{p^4 a^4}{Eh} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad \varphi(\nu = 0.5) \approx 0.0523$ $Komaragiri et at (2005): P = S(\nu) Eh \frac{5^3}{a^4}, \quad S(\nu) = (0.7179 - 0.1706\nu - 0.1495\nu^2)^{-3}.$

(104)

The idea is to assume kinematically admissible deformation fields and then determine the unknown coefficients using the principle of minimum potential energy. For example, a simple, two-parameter form has been used:

$$W(r) = \delta\left(1 - \frac{r^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}\right) \delta \qquad U(r) = U_{0} \frac{r}{\alpha}\left(1 - \frac{r}{\alpha}\right)$$

Satisfy $W(0) = W(\alpha) = 0$
Satisfy $h(0) = u(\alpha) = 0$

Then, radial and hoop strain components can be calculated immediately

$$\mathcal{E}_{rr} = \frac{\mathcal{U}_{o}}{\alpha} \left(1 - 2\frac{r}{\alpha} \right) + 2\frac{\delta^{2}\Gamma^{2}}{\alpha^{4}}, \quad \mathcal{E}_{00} = \frac{\mathcal{U}_{o}}{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{r}{\alpha} \right)$$

The elastic strain energy (per unit area) is

$$\bigcup (r) = \frac{Eh}{2(HV^2)} \left(\xi_{rr}^2 + 2v \xi_{rr} \xi_{00} + \zeta_{00}^2 \right)$$

The total potential energy can be calculated as

$$\Pi(u_0, \delta) = 2\pi \int_0^\alpha U(r) r dr - 2\pi p \int_0^\alpha w(r) dr$$

The relation between p and & and U. can be obtained by solving

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial L} = 0$$
 $\begin{cases} \frac{\partial 2}{\partial L} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial 2}{\partial L} = 0 \end{cases}$

I will not show the results here since the accuracy given by this method is not satifactory. Dai et al PRL (2018) showed using $u(r) = l_0 \frac{r}{\alpha} (-\frac{r^2}{\alpha^2})$ (an help slightly. Further improving the accuracy needs more terms in the assumed kinematics but would lose its advantages in simplicity (compared to Hencky).

· Perturbed spherical cap shapes Dai JAM (2024)

(105)

The idea is that the shape of the bulge is not a spherical carp exactly. But it appears quite close. Naturally seek solution around a parabola.

$$W(r) = \begin{cases} 5 \left[1 - \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{2+d} \right], & |d| \ll 1 & \text{Solution I} \\ 5 \left[1 - \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{2} \right]^{\beta}, & |\beta| \ll 1 & \text{Solution II} \\ \frac{5}{1+\epsilon} \left[1 - \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{2} \right] + \frac{\epsilon 5}{1+\epsilon} \left[1 - \left(\frac{r}{a}\right)^{N} \right], & |\epsilon| \ll 1 & \text{Solution II} \end{cases}$$

Regarding the in-plane displacement field, instead of assuming a kinemotically admissible form, one can directly solve for it based on in-plane equilibrium equation in terms of displacements:

$$\frac{d}{dr}(rN_{rr}) - N_{00} = 0 \rightarrow \frac{d^2u}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{du}{dr} + \frac{1}{2r}\left(\frac{dw}{dr}\right)^2 + \frac{dw}{dr}\frac{d^2w}{dr^2} = 0$$
The nonlinear term now is explicit.

for example, plugging wors in solution I, together with U(0)=U(a)=0, can give

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{(2+d)(3+2d-\nu)}{g(1+d)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{r}{\alpha} \left[1 - \left(\frac{r}{\alpha}\right)^{2+2d} \right]$$

Then we can use kinematic relations to calculate Err, Ego and T(S, L). Finally Using principle of minimum potential energy $\frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial \Pi}{\partial x} = 0$ as well as $|d| \ll 1$ leads to $d(\nu) \approx \frac{\sqrt{1025 - 742\nu + 41\nu^2} - 15 - 3\nu}{50 - 2\nu}, \quad \beta^{I} = \beta(\nu), \quad \phi^{I} = \phi(\nu), \quad \varphi^{I} = \phi(\nu). \quad \text{solution I}$

(106) Similarly, these parameters can be calculated by using solution I & II. It it is found Solution II with N=5 works particularly well. Specifically,

$$W(r) = \frac{\delta}{1+\epsilon} \left(1 - \frac{r^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} \right) + \frac{\epsilon \delta}{1+\epsilon} \left(1 - \frac{r^{5}}{\alpha^{3}} \right), \quad \epsilon \approx \frac{q_{87} - 231\nu - 7\sqrt{10}q_{85} + 3878\nu - 319q\nu^{2}}{12(13q - 67\nu)}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{0} = \Psi(\nu) \delta^{2}/a^{2}, \quad \Psi(\nu) = \frac{3-\nu}{4} + \frac{3(1+\nu)}{14} \epsilon + 0(\epsilon^{2})$$

$$\rho = \beta(\nu) Eh \frac{\delta^{3}}{\alpha^{4}}, \quad \beta(\nu) = \frac{7-\nu}{3(1+\nu)} + \frac{14q + 13\nu}{63(1+\nu)} \epsilon + 0(\epsilon^{2})$$

$$\mathcal{G} = \phi(\nu) Eh \frac{\delta^{4}}{\alpha^{4}}, \quad \psi(\nu) = \frac{5(7+\nu)}{24(1+\nu)} + \frac{5(53+\nu)}{126(1-\nu)} \epsilon + 0(\epsilon^{2})$$

$$\mathcal{G} = \left[\psi(\nu) \frac{p^{4}\alpha^{4}}{Eh} \right]^{1/3}, \quad \psi(\nu) = \frac{375(1+\nu)}{512(7+\nu)} + \frac{625(1+\nu)^{2}}{448(7+\nu)^{2}} \epsilon + 0(\epsilon^{2})$$

Since Enoil for typical v, this solution reduces the errors within E² 1%.





2r, 20, 2h denote principal stretches of the membrane along the radial, hoop, and thickness directions.

$$\lambda_r = \sqrt{r^2 + z^2}$$
, $\lambda_0 = \frac{r}{R}$, $\lambda_t = \frac{h}{h_0} \in \text{initial thickness}$

We assume the film is incompressible so that $\lambda r \lambda o \lambda t \equiv 1$, i.e., $h = ho/(\lambda r \lambda o)$ The volume of the bulge is $V = \int_{0}^{a} 2\pi r z dr = \int_{0}^{a} 2\pi r r' z dR$ The total potential energy can be written as

$$\overline{II} = U_{m}^{\alpha} - \rho V$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\alpha} W t_{0} 2\pi R dR - \rho \int_{0}^{\alpha} 2\pi r r^{2} z dR$$

$$= 2\pi \int_{0}^{\alpha} (W t_{0} R - \rho r r^{2} z) dR$$

$$= F(r, r', z, z')$$

where $W = W(\lambda r, \lambda o)$ is the strain energy per unit volume in the undeformed configuration. Therefore TT = TT(r, r', z, z'). Let's then examine ST with $SR_o \neq o$ since we want to know $G = -\frac{ST}{S(TR_o^2)}$.

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} F dR = \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial r} \delta r + \frac{\partial F}{\partial r'} \delta r' + \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} \delta z + \frac{\partial F}{\partial z'} \delta z' \right) dR + F \Big|_{\alpha} \delta \alpha$$

Note that $3\int_{0}^{A} \frac{\partial E}{\partial r'} \delta r' dR = \frac{\partial F}{\partial r'} \delta r \Big|_{A} - \frac{\partial E}{\partial r'} \delta r \Big|_{0}^{A} - \int_{0}^{A} \frac{d}{dR} \Big(\frac{\partial F}{\partial r'} \Big) \delta r dR$

$$\begin{split} \delta \int_{0}^{A} \frac{\partial F}{\partial z'} \delta z' dR &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial z'} \delta z \Big|_{A} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial z'} \delta z \Big|_{0} - \int_{0}^{A} \frac{d}{dR} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z'} \right) \delta z dR \\ \rightarrow \delta \Pi &= \int_{0}^{A} \left[\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial r} - \frac{d}{dR} \frac{\partial F}{\partial r'} \right) \delta r + \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} - \frac{d}{dR} \frac{\partial F}{\partial z'} \right) \delta z \right] dR \\ &+ \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial r'} \delta r \Big|_{A} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial z'} \delta z \Big|_{A} + F \Big|_{A} \delta A - \frac{\partial F}{\partial r'} \delta r \Big|_{0} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial z'} \delta z \Big|_{0} \right) = 0 \end{split}$$

(19)

Let's first examine the two Lagrangians

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial r} - \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial r'}\right)^{\prime} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial r} t_{0} R - \rho r' z - \left(R\frac{\partial W}{\partial r'}\right)^{\prime} t_{0} + \rho \left(r' z + r z'\right)$$
$$= t_{0} \left[R\frac{\partial W}{\partial r} - \left(R\frac{\partial W}{\partial r'}\right)^{\prime}\right] + \rho r z' = 0 \quad (D)$$
$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} - \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial z'}\right)^{\prime} = t_{0} \left[R\frac{\partial W}{\partial z} - \left(R\frac{\partial W}{\partial z'}\right)^{\prime}\right] - \rho r r' = 0 \quad (D)$$

W is a function of λr , $\lambda \theta$. Need to establish the relation between $\frac{\partial W}{\partial r}$ and $\frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_r}$ etc. $\frac{\partial W}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_r} \frac{\partial \lambda_r}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_{\theta}} \cdot \frac{\partial \lambda_{\theta}}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_{\theta}}$ $\frac{\partial W}{\partial r'} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_r} \cdot \frac{\partial \lambda_r}{\partial r'} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_{\theta}} \frac{\partial \lambda_{\theta}}{\partial r'} = \frac{r'}{\sqrt{r'+2^{\prime}}} \cdot \frac{\partial W}{\partial r'} = \frac{r'}{\lambda_r} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_r}$ $\frac{\partial W}{\partial z} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial W}{\partial z'} = \frac{z'}{\lambda_r} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_r}$

With these, we can rewitte 0 and (2) as

$$\rho = -\frac{t_{o}}{r_{z}!} \left[\frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_{o}} - \frac{r'}{\lambda_{r}} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_{r}} - R \left(\frac{r'}{\lambda_{r}} \right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_{r}} - R \frac{r'}{\lambda_{r}} \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial R_{r}} \right)^{\prime} \right]$$

$$\rho = \frac{t_{o}}{r_{r}!} \left[-\frac{z'}{\lambda_{r}} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_{r}} - R \left(\frac{z'}{\lambda_{r}} \right)^{\prime} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_{r}} - R \left(\frac{z'}{\lambda_{r}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_{r}} \right)^{\prime} \right]$$
(3)

What is the physical picture of $\frac{\partial W}{\partial ur}$, $\frac{\partial W}{\partial x_0}$? We learnt $\forall ij = \frac{\partial U}{\partial ij}$ so expect $\frac{\partial W}{\partial ur}$ and $\frac{\partial W}{\partial A_0}$ will give rise to something like stress.



d λr , $d \lambda \sigma$ are arbitrary so that $\delta r = \lambda r \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda r}$, $\delta \sigma = \lambda \sigma \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda \sigma}$. We are interested in stress resultants: $Nr = \delta r \cdot t = \frac{t \sigma}{\lambda \sigma} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda r}$, $N\sigma = \delta \sigma t = \frac{t \sigma}{\lambda r} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda \sigma}$. Now rewrite (3) in terms of Nr, No

$$p = -\frac{1}{r^{2}} \left[\lambda_{r} N_{\theta} - \frac{r^{i}}{\lambda_{r}} \lambda_{\theta} N_{r} - R \left(\frac{r^{i}}{\lambda_{r}} \right)^{i} \cdot \lambda_{\theta} N_{r} - R \frac{r^{i}}{\lambda_{r}} \left(\lambda_{\theta} N_{r} \right)^{i} \right]$$

$$= -\frac{\left(r^{i} + \frac{r}{2} r^{i}\right)^{h_{\theta}}}{r^{2}} N_{\theta} + \frac{r^{i} + r^{i} \frac{r}{2} r^{i} + rr^{i} \frac{r}{2} r^{2} - rr^{i} \frac{r}{2} \frac{r}{2}}{r^{2} (r^{i} + \frac{r}{2} r^{i})^{5/2}} N_{r} + \frac{r^{i}}{2! (r^{i} + \frac{r}{2} r^{0})^{h_{\theta}}} \left[\bigoplus \right]$$

$$p = \frac{1}{r^{i}} \left[-\frac{\frac{z^{i}}{\lambda_{r}}}{\lambda_{\theta}} N_{r} - R \left(\frac{\frac{z^{i}}{\lambda_{r}}}{r} \right)^{i} \lambda_{\theta} N_{r} - R \frac{\frac{z^{i}}{\lambda_{r}}}{r^{i}} \left(\lambda_{\theta} N_{r} \right)^{i} \right]$$

$$= -\frac{\frac{z^{i} (r^{i} + \frac{r^{i}}{2} r^{2} - rr^{ii}) + rr^{i} \frac{z^{ii}}{r^{i}}}{r (r^{i} + \frac{r^{i}}{2} r^{0})^{h_{\theta}}} N_{r} - \frac{\frac{z^{i}}{r^{i}}}{r^{i} (r^{i} + \frac{r^{i}}{2} r^{0})^{h_{\theta}}} \left[\bigoplus \right]$$

$$(\bigoplus - \bigoplus \rightarrow \left[\frac{dNr}{dR} + \frac{r^{i} (N_{r} - N_{\theta})}{r} = 0 \right]$$

$$(\bigoplus + \bigoplus \rightarrow \left[\frac{r^{i} \frac{z^{i}}{r^{i} + \frac{z^{i}}{r^{i}}} N_{r} + \frac{\frac{z^{i}}{r(r^{i} + \frac{z^{i}}{r^{0}})^{h_{\theta}}}{K_{\theta}}} N_{\theta} = \rho \right]$$

$$(\bigoplus + \bigoplus \rightarrow \left[\frac{r^{i} \frac{z^{i}}{r^{i} + \frac{z^{i}}{r^{i}}} N_{r} + \frac{\frac{z^{i}}{r(r^{i} + \frac{z^{i}}{r^{0}})^{h_{\theta}}}{K_{\theta}}} N_{\theta} = \rho \right]$$

$$(\bigoplus + \bigoplus \rightarrow \left[\frac{r^{i} \frac{z^{i}}{r^{i} + \frac{z^{i}}{r^{i}}} N_{r} + \frac{z^{i}}{r(r^{i} + \frac{z^{i}}{r^{0}})^{h_{\theta}}} N_{\theta} = \rho \right]$$

$$(\bigoplus + \bigoplus \rightarrow \left[\frac{r^{i} \frac{z^{i}}{r^{i} + \frac{z^{i}}{r^{i}}} N_{r} + \frac{z^{i}}{r(r^{i} + \frac{z^{i}}{r^{0}})^{h_{\theta}}} N_{\theta} = \rho \right]$$

$$(\bigoplus + \bigoplus \rightarrow \left[\frac{r^{i} \frac{z^{i}}{r^{i} + \frac{z^{i}}{r^{i}}} N_{r} + \frac{z^{i}}{r(r^{i} + \frac{z^{i}}{r^{0}})^{h_{\theta}}} N_{\theta} = \rho \right]$$

$$(\bigoplus + \bigoplus \rightarrow \left[\frac{r^{i} \frac{z^{i}}{r^{i} + \frac{z^{i}}{r^{i}}} N_{r} + \frac{z^{i}}{r(r^{i} + \frac{z^{i}}{r^{0}})^{h_{\theta}}} N_{\theta} = \rho \right]$$

Mostertal low

Having given the equilibrium equations, we need to specify a material law to proceed. There are various types of material laws - we consider two of commonly used for soft materials.

Neo-Hookean model :
$$W = \frac{M}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda^2 + \lambda^2}{2} + \frac{1}{\lambda^2 + \lambda^2} - 3 \right)$$

= I_1 first invariant of Cauchy-Green tensor
Gent model : $W = -\frac{M}{2} J_m \log \left(1 - \frac{I_1 - 3}{J_m} \right)$ i.e. $I_1 = +r \left(\frac{F}{E} \frac{F}{2} \right)$
material constant \hat{f} $= \lambda^2 r + \lambda^2_0 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2 r \lambda^2_0}$

Note that as $J_m \gg 1$. Gent model behaves as $\frac{1}{2}(I_1 - 3 + O(J_m^{-1}))$. So we will try Gent model with a range of J_m



Using Gent material model, we obtain

$$N_{\theta} = \mu t_{\theta} J_{m} \frac{\lambda_{r}^{4} \lambda_{\theta}^{2} - 1}{\lambda_{r}^{3} \lambda_{\theta}^{3} (J_{m} + 3 - \lambda_{r}^{2} - \lambda_{\theta}^{2}) - \lambda_{r} \lambda_{\theta}}$$

$$N_{\theta} = \mu t_{\theta} J_{m} \frac{\lambda_{r}^{2} \lambda_{\theta}^{4} - 1}{\lambda_{r}^{3} \lambda_{\theta}^{3} (J_{m} + 3 - \lambda_{r}^{2} - \lambda_{\theta}^{2}) - \lambda_{r} \lambda_{\theta}}$$

Numerics

Let's first solve the system with some natural boundary conditions

$$Z'(0)=0$$
, $Z(a)=0$, $r(0) = \lim_{R \to 0} (R+u(R))=0$, $r(a) = \lim_{R \to a} (R+u(a))=a$ or $\lambda_0(a)=1$

You'll find byp solvers not quite efficient due to a good deal of nonlinearities. May try to solve a imp problem using shooting method. The idea is to replace 2 second order compled ODEs regarding r". z" with 4 first order ODEs. There are many optims while we take $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(R)$, $\lambda_z = \lambda_z(R)$, z = z(R), $\phi = \phi(R)$ here



$$\lambda_{\theta}^{'} = \frac{r^{\prime}}{R} - \frac{r}{R^{2}} = \frac{\lambda r \cos \phi}{R} - \frac{\lambda \phi}{R} \checkmark$$

$$\frac{dNr}{dR} + \frac{r^{\prime} (N_{r} - N_{\theta})}{r} = 0 \implies \frac{dNr}{d\lambda_{\theta}} \cdot \lambda_{\theta}^{'} + \frac{dNr}{d\lambda_{r}} \cdot \lambda_{r}^{'} + \frac{r^{\prime} (N_{r} - N_{\theta})}{r} = 0$$

$$\implies \lambda_{r}^{'} = \left[-\frac{\lambda r \cos \phi (N_{r} - N_{\theta})}{\lambda_{\theta} R} - \frac{\lambda r \cos \phi - \lambda \phi}{R} \frac{dNr}{d\lambda_{\theta}} \right] / \frac{dNr}{d\lambda_{r}} \checkmark$$

$$N_{r}K_{r} + N_{\theta}K_{\theta} = -\rho \rightarrow -\frac{\phi'}{\lambda_{r}}N_{r} - \frac{\sin\phi}{r}N_{\theta} = -\rho \rightarrow \phi' = \left(\rho - \frac{\sin\phi}{\lambda_{\theta}R}N_{\theta}\right)\lambda_{r}/N_{r} \checkmark$$

These equations complete the 4 ODEs: $\frac{dy_i}{dR} = f_i(y_i, R)$, which can be solved with instial conditions $y_i(0)$, given other parameters including μ , α , J_m , p:

$$\lambda r(0) = \lambda o(0) = \lambda$$
, $\phi(0) = 0$, $z(0) = \delta$

However, λ and S are not known a priori – the value of them should ensure that $\lambda o = 1$ and z = 0 at R = Q.



Energy release rate

The membrane-substrate interface toughness (say G_c) is finite. Then interested in at which pressure the interface breaks, i.e., Gcp) = G_c . How to calculate this? $G = -\frac{\partial T}{\partial tai}$ One immediate way is to compute T_i at given a and p and T_c at $a + \epsilon a$ with $a < \epsilon < 1$. Then Gcp) = $-\lim_{E \to 0} \frac{T_c - T_i}{2\pi a(\epsilon a)} = \lim_{E \to 0} \frac{T_i - T_c}{2\pi a^2 \epsilon}$. The other way is to fing $\frac{\delta T}{(e\pi a \leq a)}$ variational analysis. Now revisit the boundary terms on Page 108:

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial r} \delta r \Big|_{\alpha} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial z'} \delta z \Big|_{\alpha} + F \Big|_{\alpha} \delta \alpha - \frac{\partial F}{\partial r'} \delta r \Big|_{\delta} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial z'} \delta z \Big|_{\delta} = 0$$

Where $F(r, r', z, z') = W(r, r', z') t_0 R - prr'z$.

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial r^{1}} \delta r = \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial r^{1}} t \cdot R - \rho r z\right) \delta r = \left(\frac{r^{1}}{\lambda_{r}} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda_{r}} t \cdot R - \rho r z\right) \delta r = \left(\frac{r^{1} N r \lambda_{0}}{\lambda_{r}} R - \rho r z\right) \delta r$$

$$\int r|_{o} = \delta r(o) = o \quad but \quad \delta r|_{o} = \delta r(a) - r' \int_{a} \delta a = (1 - r')|_{a} \delta a$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{\partial E}{\partial r'} \delta r|_{o} = o \quad \frac{\partial E}{\partial r'} \delta r|_{a} = \left[\left(\frac{r' N r \lambda_{0}}{\lambda_{r}} R - \rho r z\right)^{n} \left(1 - r'\right)\right]_{R=a} \delta a \quad \leftarrow r' = \lambda r \exp \left[\frac{r' N r \lambda_{0}}{\lambda_{r}} R - \rho r z\right] \delta r$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{SF}{\partial z'} \delta z &= \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial z'} t_0 R - prr^1\right) \delta z = \left(\frac{z'}{\lambda r} \frac{\partial W}{\partial \lambda r} t_0 R - pr \lambda_r \cos \phi\right) \delta z \\ \delta z |_0 &= \delta z (0) , \quad \delta z |_0 = \delta \overline{z} (\alpha) - z' |_a \delta \alpha \\ &\rightarrow \frac{\partial F}{\partial z'} \delta z |_0 = 0 \delta \overline{z} (0) = 0 , \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial z'} \delta z |_a = - \left(\frac{z'}{\lambda r} \frac{Nr \lambda \phi}{\lambda r} R - Pr \lambda_r \cos \phi\right) \cdot z' |_{R=a} \delta \alpha \quad \leftarrow z' = -\lambda r \sin \phi \\ &= - \left(Nr |_a \sin \phi \cdot \alpha + pa \lambda_r |_a \cos \phi\right) \lambda r |_a \sin \phi_0 \delta \alpha \end{split}$$

$$F|_{a} \leq a = (W|_{a} t \circ a + p \cdot a \cdot \lambda_{r}^{2}|_{a} \cos\phi_{o} \sin\phi) \leq a$$

$$\Rightarrow (Nr|_{a}^{cos}\phi_{o}a - (Nr\lambda_{r})|_{a} \cos^{2}\phi_{o}a - (Nr\lambda_{r})|_{a} \sin^{2}\phi_{o}a - pa \lambda_{r}^{2}|_{a} \cos\phi_{o} \sin\phi_{o} + W|_{a} t \circ a + pa \lambda_{r}^{2}|_{a} \cos\phi_{o} \sin\phi_{o}) \leq a$$

$$= \int_{\frac{\partial T}{\partial (\pi a^{2})}} = -\frac{\partial T}{2\pi a^{2} a} = (N_{r}\lambda_{r} - N_{r}\cos\phi - t_{o}W)_{R=a}$$
Concelled out when using SF

(14)

At small stretcher, $\lambda r \rightarrow 1 + \epsilon r$, $W \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}Nr\epsilon r + \frac{1}{2}N_0 \epsilon_0^{\text{out } R=a}$

$$G = \left[N_r (1 - \cos \phi) + \frac{1}{2} N_r \mathcal{E}_r \right]_{R=\alpha},$$

which returns to Kendall's peeling angle obtained using linear elasticity.